## SITE ASSESSMENT FORM

(Attach additional description or explanation as needed or include in comment Section VIII.)

ame:			SWIS No.		
Disp	osal S	ite Characteristics			
A.	Was	te Area(s) Dimensions			
	1.	Area and volume:	acres		cubic yards
	2.	Estimate maximum depth of waste:	feet		
	3.	Estimate average depth of waste:	feet		
В.	Soil	Type (check appropriate soil types)		Native	Cover
	1.	Clay, silt, loam (low permeability):			
	2.	Sand, pebble (medium permeability):			
	3.	Gravel, cobble, rocks (high permeability):			
C.	Mea	n annual precipitation: inches			
D.	Estir	nated separation between waste and ground water:	feet		
E.	Is wa	aste area within a 100-year flood plain? (Y/N):			
F.	Show	w the following items on a site map(s):			
	1.	Property boundaries			
	2.	Waste disposal area(s) boundary			
	3.	Structures on or within 1000 ft. of waste			
	4.	Topographical Contours			
	5.	Access points and roads			
	6.	Site security systems			
	7.	Surface water bodies and drainage patterns			
	8.	Monitoring and control systems			
	9.	Areas where landfill gas migration was detected			
	10.	Areas where leachate migration was detected			
G.	Prov	ide a chronological list of enforcement actions for the site:			
Н.	Prov	ide reference list of technical documents for the site (Give	date and report e.g. SWAT	Design Control S	vstem and
		itoring Plans):	•	, 2 doign, doined d	Jeconii, ana

## II. Landfill Gas Migration

A.	Status								
	1.	Has an Air Quality Solid Waste Assessment Test (Air SWAT) been completed for the site? If yes, describe results. (Y/N)							
	_								
	2.	Have surface or structure landfill gas monitoring surveys been conducted for the site? If yes, describe results. (Y/N)							
	3.	Does the site have a landfill gas monitoring system? If yes, describe(Y/N)							
	4.	Does the site have a landfill gas control system? If yes, describe. (Y/N)							
В.	Migrati	gration							
	1.	Do surface methane emissions exceed 500 ppm? (Y/N/U)							
		If <b>unknown</b> , conduct a field survey for presence of landfill gas.							
		If <b>no</b> , based on field observations or measurements, age, and moisture content of the waste and the existing cover, are surface emissions > 500 ppm likely to occur? (Y/N)							
	2.	Has >1.25% methane by volume accumulated in on-site structures? (Y/N/U)							
	-	If <b>unknown</b> , conduct a field structure survey for presence of landfill gas.							
		If <b>no</b> , based on field measurements, the age and nature of the waste, land use, and cover conditions, is landfill gas accumulation likely to occur in structures on or around the site? (Y/N) Reasons							
	-								
	3.	Do the methane concentrations exceed 5% at the site boundary? (Y/N/U)							
	-								
		If <b>unknown</b> , conduct perimeter field survey for landfill gas migration.							
		If <b>no</b> , based on a perimeter field survey, age and moisture content of the waste, and the existing cover, is landfill gas migration likely to occur beyond the boundaries of the site? (Y/N) Reasons							
	-								
	_								

## A. Status Does the site have a leachate control system? (Y/N) If **yes**, briefly describe the leachate control system: 1. 2. Does the landfill have an engineered lining system? (Y/N) If **yes**, briefly describe the liner system: 3. Does the site have a final cover? If **yes**, briefly describe the final cover and any agency approvals: (Y/N)B. Migration Is there any evidence of leachate seeps? (Y/N) If yes, briefly describe and indicate if offsite. IV. **Burn Ash** A. Status Is there burn ash at this site? (Y/N) If **yes**, briefly describe. 1. 2. Is there any exposed burn ash? (Y/N) If **yes**, briefly describe. B. Migration Is there any evidence of burn ash off site? (Y/N) If **yes**, briefly describe. 1.

III.

Leachate Seeps

	A.	Is site	access a	adeguate	ly restrict	ed? (Y/N	1)									
	B.															
	C.															
	D.								0.00.01.	(17.17)						
D. Is the final grading adequate to promote run-off? (Y/N)  E. Are slopes greater than 3:1 (33% or 18 degrees)?  (Y/N)																
F. Are slopes greater than 1.75:1 (57% or 30 degrees)?																
	• •	(Y/N)														
	G.	G Comments														
			-													
VI.	Post	closure	Land U	se												
	A.	. Has the land use of the site significantly changed since closure? (Y/N) If yes, include or reference site improveme plans and answer the following:							vement.							
		pians	and ansi	wer the ic	ollowing:											
		1.	Give th	ne date th	at the im	provemer	nts were	construct	ed:							
		2.	Have t	he impro	vements	comprom	ised the	integrity (	of the fina	al cover?	Y/N/N	IA) _				
Has differential settlement affected the improvements? (Y/N)																
	B.	Is there a proposed change in postclosure land use that may jeopardize the integrity of previously closed sites or pose a														
		potential threat to public health and safety or the environment? (Y/N)														
		If <b>yes</b> , briefly describe the proposed project:														
	C.	ls the	e a nost	rlosure la	nd use tr	acking sv	stem? (	V/N)		f <b>vas</b> da	oscriha tl	ne track	ina sve	stem.		
	C. Is there a postclosure land use tracking system? (Y/N) If <b>yes</b> , describe the tracking system:															
VII.	Disp	osal Sit	e Categ	ory (See	e Classif	ication (	Chart)									
	Prima	arv	A:		B:		C:	П	D:		U:	П	γ.			
		ondary	1:		2:		3:		D.		0.		Λ.			
	0000	nidai j	••	_	2.		o.									
VIII.	Com	ments:														
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	00															

٧.

**Surface Conditions** 

Prepared By:	Date: